

BONN-HE-92-38
December, 1992

Arbitrary Spacetimes from the $SL(2, \mathbb{R})/U(1)$ Coset Model

Nouredine Mohammedi ^{*} [†]

Physikalisches Institut
der Universität Bonn
Nussallee 12
D-5300 Bonn 1, Germany

Abstract

We show that the gauged $SL(2, R)$ WZWN model yields arbitrary spacetimes in two dimensions. The $c = 1$ matter coupled to gravity and the black hole singularity are just two particular cases in these spacetimes.

Work supported by the Alexander von Humboldt-Stiftung.
e-mail: nouri@avzw02.physik.uni-bonn.de

Since the discovery of the existence of a two dimensional black hole in the coset model $SL(2, R)/U(1)$ [1] and as a solution to the string beta functions [2,3], many efforts have been devoted to this subject. In particular, attempts have been directed towards understanding the relationship between the black hole and the $c = 1$ matter field coupled to two dimensional gravity [4-10]. All the evidences point to the fact that these two theories might have the same origin.

In this note, we show that by gauging the $U(1)$ isometry subgroup of the $SL(2, R)$ WZWN model one obtains an arbitrary geometry for the two dimensional target-space. The $c = 1$ matter coupled to gravity and the black hole are just two particular cases in this arbitrary geometry.

This arbitrariness in the geometry arises from the mathematical formalism of gauging an isometry subgroup of a general non-linear sigma model [11,12]. This formalism was also recently used to identify the perturbations, by $(1, 1)$ conformal operators, of the black hole found in the $SL(2, R)/U(1)$ coset model [13].

Our starting point is the ungauged $SL(2, R)$ WZWN action

$$\begin{aligned} I(g) &= \frac{k}{8\pi} \int_{\Sigma} d^2x \sqrt{\gamma} \gamma^{\mu\nu} Tr \left[(g^{-1} \partial_{\mu} g) (g^{-1} \partial_{\nu} g) \right] \\ &+ \frac{k}{12\pi} \int_B d^3y \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} Tr \left[(g^{-1} \partial_{\mu} g) (g^{-1} \partial_{\nu} g) (g^{-1} \partial_{\rho} g) \right] . \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Here B is a three dimensional manifold whose boundary is Σ and Tr is the trace in the two dimensional representation of $SL(2, R)$. Let us parametrize the $SL(2, R)$ group manifold by

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} a & u \\ -v & b \end{pmatrix} , \quad ab + uv = 1 . \quad (2)$$

In this parametrization, the above action yields

$$I(\phi) = \frac{k}{4\pi} \int d^2x (\sqrt{\gamma} \gamma^{\mu\nu} G_{ij} + \epsilon^{\mu\nu} B_{ij}) \partial_{\mu} \phi^i \partial_{\nu} \phi^j , \quad (3)$$

where the target-space metric G_{ij} and the antisymmetric tensor B_{ij} are

$$G_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{a^2}(1 - uv) & \frac{1}{2} \frac{v}{a} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{u}{a} \\ \frac{1}{2} \frac{v}{a} & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \frac{u}{a} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} , \quad B_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\ln a \\ 0 & \ln a & 0 \end{pmatrix} . \quad (4)$$

Here $\phi^1 = a$, $\phi^2 = u$, $\phi^3 = v$.

A general non-linear sigma model as given in (3) possesses a global $U(1)$ isometry symmetry given by

$$\delta\phi^i = \varepsilon K^i(\phi) , \quad (5)$$

provided that K^i is a Killing vector of the metric G_{ij} and the antisymmetric tensor B_{ij} satisfies

$$\partial_l B_{ij} K^l + B_{lj} \partial_i K^l + B_{il} \partial_j K^l = \nabla_i L_j - \nabla_j L_i , \quad (6)$$

for some target-space vector L_i [14].

This global $U(1)$ symmetry can be made local by introducing a $U(1)$ gauge field A_μ transforming as

$$\delta A_\mu = -\partial_\mu \varepsilon . \quad (7)$$

The most general action involving the gauge field A_μ is written as [11,12]

$$I_{gauged} = \frac{k}{4\pi} \int d^2x \{ \sqrt{\gamma} \gamma^{\mu\nu} G_{ij} D_\mu \phi^i D_\nu \phi^j + \epsilon^{\mu\nu} B_{ij} \partial_\mu \phi^i \partial_\nu \phi^j - 2\epsilon^{\mu\nu} C_i A_\mu \partial_\nu \phi^i \} , \quad (8)$$

where

$$D_\mu \phi^i = \partial_\mu \phi^i + A_\mu K^i \quad (9)$$

and the target-space function $C_i(\phi)$ is given by

$$C_i = B_{ij} K^j + L_i . \quad (10)$$

Local gauge invariance implies then the following equations [11,12]

$$\partial_j C_i K^j + C_j \partial_i K^j = 0 \quad (11)$$

$$L_i K^i = 0 . \quad (12)$$

Eliminating the gauge fields from (8) results in a new non-linear sigma model of the form (3) with a new metric $\hat{G}_{ij}$ and a new antisymmetric tensor $\hat{B}_{ij}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{G}_{ij} &= G_{ij} - \frac{1}{M} (G_{ik} G_{jl} K^k K^l - C_i C_j) \\ \hat{B}_{ij} &= B_{ij} + \frac{1}{M} (G_{ik} C_j K^k - G_{jk} C_i K^k) , \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where

$$M = G_{ij} K^i K^j . \quad (14)$$

Notice that the new metric $\hat{G}_{ij}$ would exhibit an explicit singularity if M has zeros. This is so if the old metric G_{ij} is not positive definite as it is in the case when the non-linear sigma model is defined on a non-compact group manifold. Using equation (13) we find

$$\hat{G}_{ij}K^j = 0 \quad . \quad (15)$$

Therefore due to these null eigenvectors, the metric $\hat{G}_{ij}$ cannot be inverted and we cannot analyse the singularities of the gauged non-linear sigma model. To overcome this difficulty, a gauge fixing term must be introduced.

We would like now to apply this analyses to the non-linear sigma model of the $SL(2, R)$ WZWN action. For this, we would like to gauge the non-compact one parameter symmetry group generated by

$$\delta g = \varepsilon \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} g + g \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \quad . \quad (16)$$

This transformation is of the form (5) where the corresponding Killing vectors are given by

$$K^1 = 2a \quad , \quad K^2 = 0 \quad , \quad K^3 = 0 \quad . \quad (17)$$

We deduce from equations (4) and (10) that

$$C_1 = L_1 \quad , \quad C_2 = L_2 \quad , \quad C_3 = L_3 \quad . \quad (18)$$

Furthermore, equation (12) leads to

$$C_1 = L_1 = 0 \quad (19)$$

while equation (11) is solved by

$$C_2 = f(u, v) \quad , \quad C_3 = h(u, v) \quad , \quad (20)$$

where $f(u, v)$ and $h(u, v)$ are two arbitrary functions. These two functions are, however, not independent. They are related by the defining equation for L_i . Indeed, equation (6) leads to

$$2 = \partial_v f(u, v) - \partial_u h(u, v) \quad . \quad (21)$$

This differential equation has the following general solution

$$\begin{aligned} f(u, v) &= v - \partial_u X(u, v) \\ h(u, v) &= -u - \partial_v X(u, v) \quad , \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where $X(u, v)$ is an arbitrary function. Therefore the quantities C_1 , C_2 and C_3 have been completely determined. Consequently, the non-vanishing components of the new metric $\hat{G}_{ij}$ are listed below

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{G}_{22} &= -\frac{1}{4(1-uv)} \left[2v (\partial_u X) - (\partial_u X)^2 \right] \\ \hat{G}_{23} &= -\frac{1}{4(1-uv)} \left[2 - u (\partial_u X) + v (\partial_v X) - (\partial_u X) (\partial_v X) \right] \\ \hat{G}_{33} &= -\frac{1}{4(1-uv)} \left[-2u (\partial_v X) - (\partial_v X)^2 \right] .\end{aligned}\tag{23}$$

The scalar curvature corresponding to this metric is found to be

$$\begin{aligned}R &= \frac{A}{B} \\ A &= -64 + 96 \left[v (\partial_u X) (\partial_v X)^2 - u (\partial_v X) (\partial_u X)^2 \right] \\ &+ 32 \left[2 + 5uv - u^2 v^2 \right] (\partial_u X) (\partial_v X) \\ &- 8 \left[4 - 2uv + u^2 v^2 \right] (\partial_u X)^2 (\partial_v X)^2 \\ &+ 8(3-uv) \left[u^2 (\partial_u X)^3 (\partial_v X) + v^2 (\partial_v X)^3 (\partial_u X) \right] \\ &+ 32 \left[1 - 3uv + 3u^2 v^2 - u^3 v^3 \right] \left[(\partial_u^2 X) (\partial_v^2 X) - (\partial_v \partial_u X)^2 \right] \\ &+ 4u (\partial_u X) \left[32 - 24u (\partial_u X) + 8u^2 (\partial_u X)^2 - u^3 (\partial_u X)^3 \right] \\ &- 4v (\partial_v X) \left[32 + 24v (\partial_v X) + 8v^2 (\partial_v X)^2 + v^3 (\partial_v X)^3 \right] \\ &+ (1-uv)^2 \left[32 (\partial_u X)^2 (\partial_v^2 X) - 32 (\partial_v X)^2 (\partial_u^2 X) \right] \\ &+ (1-uv)^2 \left[-8u (\partial_u X)^3 (\partial_v^2 X) - 8v (\partial_v X)^3 (\partial_u^2 X) \right] \\ &+ (1-uv)^2 \left[-32u (\partial_u^2 X) (\partial_v X) - 32v (\partial_v^2 X) (\partial_u X) \right] \\ &+ (1-uv)^2 \left[-8u (\partial_u^2 X) (\partial_u X) (\partial_v X)^2 - 8v (\partial_v^2 X) (\partial_v X) (\partial_u X)^2 \right] \\ &+ (1-uv)^2 \left[16u (\partial_v \partial_u X) (\partial_u X)^2 (\partial_v X) + 16v (\partial_v \partial_u X) (\partial_v X)^2 (\partial_u X) \right] \\ B &= -(1-uv) \left[4 - 4u (\partial_u X) + 4v (\partial_v X) + u^2 (\partial_u X)^2 + v^2 (\partial_v X)^2 \right] \\ &- 2(2-uv) (\partial_u X) (\partial_v X)]^2 .\end{aligned}\tag{24}$$

Therefore, due to the arbitrariness of the function $X(u, v)$, we can obtain *any geometry* we like from gauging the $U(1)$ isometry subgroup of the $SL(2, R)$ WZWN model. In particular if we choose

$$X(u, v) = \text{constant}\tag{25}$$

then the metric $\hat{G}_{ij}$ exhibits the black hole geometry found in [1]. On the other hand, the $c = 1$ matter field coupled to two dimensional gravity is realized by all the functions $X(u, v)$ which are solutions to the differential equation

$$A = 0 \quad . \quad (26)$$

This arbitrariness in the geometry is better understood in the language of the $SL(2, R)$ group manifold. It turns out that the metric $\hat{G}_{ij}$ in (23) arises, upon eliminating the gauge field, from the following action

$$\begin{aligned} I(g, A) = & I(g) + \frac{k}{4\pi} \int d^2x \sqrt{\gamma} \gamma^{\mu\nu} \text{Tr} \left\{ A_\mu \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} g^{-1} \partial_\nu g + A_\mu \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \partial_\nu g g^{-1} \right. \\ & + A_\mu A_\nu \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} g \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} g^{-1} \right] \Big\} \\ & + \frac{k}{4\pi} \int d^2x \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \text{Tr} \left[A_\mu \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} g^{-1} \partial_\nu g - A_\mu \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \partial_\nu g g^{-1} \right] \\ & + \frac{k}{4\pi} \int d^2x \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \text{Tr} \left[\partial_\mu A_\nu \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & -X \end{pmatrix} \right] \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Without the last term, this action is what is commonly written down for the $SL(2, R)/U(1)$ WZWN model [15,16] when gauging the $U(1)$ subgroup as given in (16). The last term, however, is a topological term

$$\frac{k}{4\pi} \int d^2x \epsilon^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} X(u, v) \quad (28)$$

whose presence is required by the mathematical structure arising from gauging the $U(1)$ isometry of a general non-linear sigma model. Therefore, there is no reason for ignoring such a term when dealing with gauged WZWN models. Furthermore, this is the same term which was interpreted in ref.[13] as generating perturbations, by $(1, 1)$ conformal operators, of the $SL(2, R)/U(1)$ black hole.

Acknowledgements: I would like to thank W. Nahm for showing his interest in the subject. The financial support from the Alexander von Humboldt-Stiftung is also hereby acknowledged.

References

- [1] E. Witten, Phys. Rev. D44 (1991) 314.
- [2] S. Elitzur, A. Forge and E. Rabinovici, Nucl. Phys. B359 (1991) 581.
- [3] G. Mandal, A. M. Sengupta and S. Wadia, Mod. Phys. Lett. A6 (1991) 1685.
- [4] M. Bershadsky and D. Kutasov, Phys. Lett. B266 (1991) 345.
- [5] E. Martinec and S. Shatashvili, Nucl. Phys. B368 (1992) 338.
- [6] R. Dijkgraaf, H. Verlinde and E. Verlinde, Nucl. Phys. B371 (1992) 269.
- [7] J. Distler and P. Nelson, Nucl. Phys. B374 (1992) 123.
- [8] F. Ardalan, '2D Black Holes and 2D Gravity', Sharif University preprint (1992);
K. Meissner and G. Veneziano, Phys. Lett. B267 (1991) 33;
S. Hassan and A. Sen, 'Marginal Deformations of WZNW and Coset Models from $O(d, d)$ Transformations', Tata preprint hep-th/9210121;
J. Horne, G. Horowitz and A. Steif, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68 (1992) 568;
N. Ishibashi, M. Li and Steif, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67 (1992) 3336;
P. Horava, Phys. Lett. B278 (1992) 101;
C. Nappi and E. Witten, Phys. Lett. B293 (1992) 309;
C. Kounnas and D. Lust, Phys. Lett. B289 (1992) 56;
D. Gershon, 'Exact Solution of 4-D Black Holes in String Theory', Tel-Aviv preprint TAUP-1937-91;
P. Ginsparg and F. Quevedo, 'Strings in Curved space-times, Black Holes, Torsion and Duality', Los Alamos preprint hep-th/9202092;
Chaudhuri and J. Lykken, 'String Theory, Black Holes and $SL(2, R)$ Current Algebra', Fermilab preprint hep-th/9206107;
J-L. Gervais and M. Saveliev, Phys. Lett. B286 (1992) 271;
J. Ellis, N. Mavromatos and D. Nanopoulos, Phys. Lett. B289 (1992) 25;
I. Bars, 'Superstrings in Curved Spacetimes', USC preprint hep-th/9210079;
N. Marcus and Y. Oz, 'Discrete States of 2D String Theory in Polyakov's Light-Cone Gauge', Tel-Aviv preprint TAUP-1962-92.

- [9] T. Eguchi, H. Kanno and Sung-Kil Yang, ' W_∞ Algebra in Two-Dimensional Black Hole', Newton Institute preprint NI92004 (1992).
- [10] H. Ishikawa and M. Kato, 'Equivalence of BRST cohomologies for 2-d black hole and $c = 1$ Liouville theory', preprint UT-Komaba/92-11 (1992).
- [11] I. Jack, D. R.T. Jones, N. Mohammadi and H. Osborn, Nucl. Phys. B332 (1990) 359.
- [12] C. M. Hull and B. Spence, Phys. Lett. B232 (1989) 204
- [13] N. Mohammadi, 'Modified Black Holes in Two Dimensional Gravity', Phys. Lett. B281 (1992) 36.
- [14] E. Braaten, T. L. Curtright and C. K. Zachos, Nucl. Phys. B260 (1985) 630.
- [15] P. Di Vecchia and P. Rossi, Phys. Lett. B140 (1984) 344;
P. Di Vecchia, B. Durhuus and J. L. Petersen, Phys. Lett. B144 (1984) 245.
- [16] D. Karabali, Q.-H. Park, H. J. Schnitzer and Z. Yang, Phys. Lett. B216 (1989) 307;
H. J. Schnitzer, Nucl. Phys. B324 (1989) 412;
D. Karabali and H. J. Schnitzer, Nucl. Phys. B329 (1990) 649;
K. Gawedzki and A. Kupianen, Phys. Lett. B215 (1988) 119; Nucl. Phys. B320(FS) (1989) 649.